

Fiscal Estimate — 2003 Session

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original	<input type="checkbox"/> Updated	LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
<input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	<input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number NR 115

Subject

Wisconsin's Shoreland Protection Program

Fiscal Effect

State: ☒ No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation | |

☐ Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb within agency's budget.

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Decrease Costs

Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs

1. ☒ Increase Costs
☐ Permissive ☒ Mandatory
2. ☒ Decrease Costs
☐ Permissive ☒ Mandatory

3. ☒ Increase Revenues
☒ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenues
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:

☐ Towns ☒ Villages ☒ Cities

☒ Counties ☐ Others

☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

☒ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S

Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

1. The Department has an existing oversight capacity in the implementation, enforcement and administration of the current NR 115 program. This level of effort will not substantially change as a result of the proposed NR 115 rule revisions. In fact, workload for enforcement may actually decrease due to the decline in variance requests that will be realized with the rule revisions and increased level of flexibility offered to property owners.
2. Counties and cities or villages that have annexed land since 1982 or incorporated since 1994 currently administer and enforce local shoreland zoning ordinances which meet or exceed the statewide minimum standards found in NR 115.
3. The rule revision will cause counties and annexed or incorporated areas one-time expenditures to revise shoreland zoning ordinances to reflect the new statewide minimum standards. However, after this initial increased workload and expense, it is envisioned that the administrative burden of administering and enforcing the zoning provisions will be easier and less time consuming than the current standards.
4. Exact increased local one-time costs, decreased annualized costs, increased revenues (based on local permitting) and decreased revenues (based on a decrease in the amount of variances sought) are extremely difficult to estimate and will vary considerably on a county by county basis. In a recent survey to counties, the hypothesized cost to respondents varied from \$3,000 for those counties that would be able to do most of the work in house to over \$100,000 for counties that would have to seek outside legal or planning support due to minimal county specialized legal and/or policy staff.
5. State costs will be limited to the WT and LS bureaus policy and legal review and approval of new shoreland zoning ordinances required to implement the revised statewide minimum standards.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The revised rule will provide increased predictability for investment decisions for local government, businesses, residents and new property owners, thereby decreasing operational costs and increasing property taxes and other economic outlays stimulated by a more predictable zoning environment.

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joseph Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	

Fiscal Estimate — 2003 Session

Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number NR 115

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate – Continued

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	LRB Number Bill Number	Amendment Number if Applicable Administrative Rule Number <div style="text-align: center;">NR 115</div>
--	-------------------------------	---

Subject

Wisconsin's Shoreland Protection Program

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

Extremely difficult to predict. Local government costs could be limited to the costs of notice and public hearing requirements for amending shoreland zoning ordinances or impacts may include costs of retaining either legal counsel and/or a planning consultant to draft an amended ordinance.

Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations — Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
Total State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
Total State Revenues		\$	\$ -

Net Annualized Fiscal Impact

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
Net Change in Costs	\$	\$
Net Change in Revenues	\$	\$

Prepared By: Joe Polasek	Telephone No. 266-2794	Agency Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No. 266-2794	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)